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Background

- Historian
  - Japan: ca 1580 – 1900
  - Social, local (Hokuriku)
Key Research Concerns

• Conditions determining levels of land taxation, ca. 1600 – 1868 (what factors did domains consider?)

• Institutions of corporate (village) control of arable land

• Tie to natural surroundings & conditions
  – Non-Agricultural considerations in setting land tax (fish, forestry; distance from castle town & administrative headquarters)
  – Propensity to flooding/landslides linked to corporate landholding
Implications for a GIS Study

• Concern for many cases
  – Tax data for Kaga domain
- Broad Area Coverage
  - Hydrological conditions that bear on particular locations
Challenges of Numbers Further Compounded

• Units of Taxation & Land Organization (Villages) “disappear” with appearance of first modern government (1868 – 1912)
  – Amalgamation into large political units

• Remaining traces
  – Station names
  – Bus stops
  – Etc.

• How to recover large numbers of locations?
  – Central point
  – Boundaries
Early Scientific Mapping in Japan

- 1:50,000 series, early 20th century
Some “settlement” data from 1:50000 maps

Are there alternate sources of location for research involving many places?
Comparison NLA data with Modern Maps & Local Knowledge

Niigata

Central Niigata
(Nagaoka, Yoshikawa)
Direct Comparison early 20\textsuperscript{th} century 1:50k, late 20\textsuperscript{th} century 1:25k Maps further discouraging
Still some promise in Modern data

- National land agency publishes digital place names (natural features, customary names, parks, public facilities, etc.) on CD.
- Does this hold promise of providing, through maintenance of roster of customary names, etc., accurate location data for mid-19th century settlements?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>地形図の読み</th>
<th>都道府県名</th>
<th>町村名</th>
<th>注記番号</th>
<th>大分類</th>
<th>中分類</th>
<th>小分類</th>
<th>注記文字列</th>
<th>注記文字列の読み</th>
<th>大コード</th>
<th>文字数</th>
<th>代表点経度</th>
<th>代表点緯度</th>
<th>公共施設ID</th>
<th>史跡名勝物記念フラグ</th>
<th>元図コード</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>えちごよし 込</td>
<td>新潟県</td>
<td>新潟市</td>
<td>三条市</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>おおじま</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1385802</td>
<td>374021.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>えちごよし 込</td>
<td>新潟県</td>
<td>新潟市</td>
<td>三条市</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>代官だいかんじま</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1385908</td>
<td>374024</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>えちごよし 込</td>
<td>新潟県</td>
<td>新潟市</td>
<td>三条市</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>おぎじま</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1385917</td>
<td>374003.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Are these points good center point estimates?

- Compare 4 dozen points with locations based on local informants
- Hand-held GPS measures vs. NLA data
- Done all in one afternoon, down and dirty
Yoshikawa area I: NLA data = Green, GPS Data = Red
Yoshikawa area II: NLA data = Green, GPS Data = Red
Potential for Boundary location? Ministry of Ag. Data
Conclusions

• Contemporary data for Japan has potential to assist locating center point and boundary data for pre-modern (19th century) settlements in large numbers
  – Not complete (name changes)
  – Not perfect (boundary changes)
  – But a start

• Is this finding generalizable?
  – Open question, but suggests value of investigation
  – Value of thinking flexibly, not just about agencies which have primary responsibility for mapping